



e-ISSN: 3109-6425
p-ISSN: 3109-6433

Proceeding Jakarta Geopolitical Forum

Lembaga Ketahanan Nasional Republik Indonesia (LEMHANNAS RI)

Volume 8 | 2024

WEB : <https://proceeding.lemhannas.com/index.php/jgf>

DOI : <https://doi.org/10.55960/jgf.v8i1.264>

Conference Paper

MARITIME TRANSNATIONAL ORGANISED CRIME PROJECTIONS IN THE REGION

Erik van der Veen

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Jakarta, Indonesia

Abstract.

Transnational organised crime continues to pose a major threat to regional and international security, particularly within the Indo-Pacific's complex maritime domain. The study analyses the multidimensional challenges of maritime crime, including drug trafficking, the illegal trade in waste and timber, and human smuggling, through the lens of transnational crime theory and the regime theory of international cooperation. Guided by international legal frameworks, notably the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organised Crime, the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime has implemented initiatives focused on capacity building, international collaboration, and legal harmonisation. Using qualitative content analysis of policy documents, institutional reports, and peer-reviewed literature from the past decade, the research identifies an increase in criminal sophistication, including advanced concealment techniques and the exploitation of regulatory gaps. Although the complexity of these crimes is growing, initiatives such as the Maritime Law Enforcement Dialogue, the Sulu–Celebes Seas Contact Group, and the proposed ASEAN Coast Guard Forum demonstrate progress in regional coordination. The findings emphasise the importance of real-time information sharing, public–private partnerships, and community engagement. Strengthening collaborative frameworks and applying both regional and global legal instruments are essential to enhancing Southeast Asia's resilience in countering maritime transnational organised crime.

Keywords: ASEAN; Indo-Pacific; regional security; transnational organised crime; UNODC.

Corresponding Author:

Erik van der Veen
Email: erik.vanderveen@gmail.com

Article History:

Received : 25-04-2024
Revised : 18-05-2024
Accepted : 28-06-2024

This article, authored by Erik van der Veen, is published under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that proper credit is given to the original author(s), the title of the work, the journal citation, and the corresponding DOI. The selection and peer-review of this article were conducted under the responsibility of the JGF Conference Committee.



Published by Lemhannas Press.

Introduction

The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), through its Programme Office in Indonesia, implements targeted initiatives to counter maritime crime and transnational threats across Southeast Asia (1). Operating under the guidance of established international legal frameworks, the maritime crime programme promotes international cooperation, delivers capacity-building interventions, and provides normative support in relation to applicable legal instruments (2). The programme aims to strengthen regional mechanisms for combating maritime crime while ensuring consistency with global legal standards and multilateral commitments (3).

Literature Review

Theoretical Studies

Transnational organised crime continues to pose a significant threat to both regional and international security. This study draws upon criminological and international relations theories, specifically transnational crime theory (4) and regime theory of international cooperation (5), to stress the essential role of multilateral collaboration in confronting persistent criminal challenges. It identifies three interrelated domains of concern within the wider spectrum of organised crime, namely maritime crime, drug trafficking, and the smuggling of contraband and migrants (6). Criminal activities flourish particularly in regions marked by porous borders and limited enforcement capacity; a dynamic explained through networked governance approaches to security.

In Southeast Asia, the region's complex maritime geography comprising archipelagic states with numerous islands, narrow straits, and remote landing points intensifies the challenges of surveillance and law enforcement (7). Spatial criminology suggests that geographic and infrastructural conditions provide conducive environments for illicit networks to operate. In addition, the dense concentration of legitimate economic activities, including shipping, fishing, and port operations, renders maritime zones both economically critical and vulnerable to criminal penetration.

The interaction among geographic complexity, enforcement limitations, and economic activity highlights the urgency for strengthened regional frameworks, including ASEAN mechanisms and bilateral maritime patrol agreements, to enhance collaborative enforcement (1). Theoretical frameworks grounded in collective security and shared sovereignty reinforce the premise that no individual nation-state can unilaterally control transnational maritime threats (8). A unified and multilateral approach remains indispensable for building resilience and ensuring comprehensive maritime domain awareness across Southeast Asia.

Empirical Studies

Empirical findings from Southeast Asia indicate a concerning rise in transnational trafficking activities along maritime routes, with drug trafficking identified as a major priority (9). Seizure data from across the region consistently point to methamphetamine as the most commonly trafficked narcotic, particularly through the western maritime corridor spanning the Andaman Sea and the Strait of Malacca (10). This development reflects both intensified production and expanded trafficking networks capable of manufacturing new psychoactive substances (NPS) that remain outside current legal classifications. Field reports and law enforcement investigations confirm the use of advanced concealment strategies, including embedding narcotics within cargo holds or welding containers below the waterline, occasionally involving scuba divers to manage hidden payloads. These practices complicate interdiction efforts and require high-level detection technologies and coordinated naval enforcement.

Environmental crime has simultaneously become increasingly visible, particularly in illicit waste movement and the illegal timber trade (11). Observations from port inspections and customs procedures reveal that waste trafficking, often mislabelled as recyclable material, has evolved into a profitable yet unregulated market. While some nations legally import recyclable goods including paper and plastic, criminal organisations take advantage of regulatory gaps by mixing hazardous or undeclared waste into otherwise legitimate consignments. This blending practice obstructs customs verification and creates significant enforcement blind spots. Similarly, efforts to curb illegal timber trafficking are hindered by document forgery, transshipment through third countries, and the operation of shell companies. Case studies consistently highlight the difficulties associated with verifying timber provenance, particularly when shipping records are manipulated. Wildlife trafficking is also increasingly problematic, with smugglers using small, unregistered vessels operating from unregulated coastal locations. Reports from environmental NGOs and enforcement agencies indicate the involvement of artisanal fishers and corrupt port personnel in bypassing official monitoring. The unlabelled or disguised nature of wildlife cargo complicates inspection and obstructs effective law enforcement.

Human smuggling has escalated as a result of economic instability in countries of origin (12). Testimonies from migrants and official investigative reports demonstrate that individuals often turn to smuggling networks due to limited legal migration avenues. These operations frequently manipulate personal information to extort additional payments and, in some cases, threaten physical violence during transit. The decentralised structure of these networks, combined with encrypted communications and

fragmented transport systems, significantly hinders both enforcement and the identification of trafficking victims.

Methods

This study applies a qualitative content analysis, defined as a systematic technique for deriving valid and replicable interpretations from textual materials within their contextual application (13). The method facilitates a structured examination of policy documents, institutional reports, and peer-reviewed literature concerning maritime transnational organised crime in the Indo-Pacific region. The data sources include international and institutional documents published within the past decade, selected based on their relevance to maritime crime, transnational criminal activities, legal cooperation, and regional security. The unit of analysis focuses on how the international community, both regionally and globally, leverages collaborative frameworks to address maritime threats. The research was conducted over a one-year period, with data credibility maintained through source triangulation and expert validation.

Results and Discussion

Transnational trafficking in Southeast Asia's maritime region demands integrated and adaptive policy responses, as criminal networks continue to exploit regulatory loopholes and geographic vulnerabilities to conduct drug trafficking, environmental crimes, wildlife smuggling, and human trafficking (10–12). Regional data sharing and intersectoral cooperation remain essential to overcome fragmented enforcement, while capacity building through training, joint patrols, and real-time surveillance is required to improve operational effectiveness. Alignment with international legal instruments, notably UNTOC and UNCLOS, offers a foundational framework for legal harmonisation and collective action (14).

The United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) has advanced this agenda through regional initiatives like the Maritime Law Enforcement Dialogue and the contact group on the Sulu and Celebes Seas, enhancing tactical coordination and shared assessments among states (1). Proposed mechanisms, particularly the ASEAN Coast Guard Forum in collaboration with Indonesia's BAKAMLA and other national coast guard institutions, mark a progressive effort to formalise regional maritime security arrangements. Public-private partnerships involving port operators, shipping firms, and technology providers contribute significantly to reinforcing supply chain security by addressing vulnerabilities in logistical operations. Additionally, coastal communities hold strategic importance in early warning and surveillance roles. Their empowerment through education and structured reporting mechanisms strengthens local resilience and supports the broader maritime security framework (3).

Real-time information exchange and secure communication infrastructure are pivotal to sustaining operational effectiveness in enforcement activities (1). Delays or fragmentation in data flow often undermine otherwise well-equipped responses. Coordinated efforts that integrate stakeholders across jurisdictions and sectors enhance responsiveness and deter trafficking activities at sea. Moreover, initiatives that draw on community-based observation systems and embed local populations into early-warning networks generate durable contributions to regional preparedness. The cumulative effect of institutional collaboration, normative alignment, and societal participation represents a comprehensive approach to securing Southeast Asia's maritime domain from transnational threats.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the persistence and increasing sophistication of maritime crime in Southeast Asia pose formidable challenges to regional security and governance. As Van der Veen observes, criminal networks continually adapt their tactics by exploiting both geographic vulnerabilities and regulatory shortcomings. Nonetheless, there are substantial grounds for optimism. The growing prioritisation of international cooperation, information exchange, and institutional capacity building offers a viable pathway towards more coherent and effective responses. By reinforcing collaborative frameworks and utilising both regional and global legal instruments, the international community is well-positioned to strengthen Southeast Asia's collective resilience in addressing transnational organised crime at sea.

References

1. Veen E van der. Jakarta Geopolitical Forum VIII/2024. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 27]. Maritime Transnational Organised Crime Projections in the Indo-Pacific Region. Available from: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gZZbl7yOXno&t=19378s>
2. United Nations Office on Drug and Crime. Global Maritime Crime Programme. 2024 [cited 2025 Jul 27]. p. 1. Available from: <https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/piracy/index.html>
3. Veen E Van Der. Maritime Crime Trends in Southeast Asia. 2024.
4. Sheptycki JWE. Transnational Crime and Transnational Policing. *Sociol Compass*. 2007 Nov 1;1(2):485–98.
5. Haggard S, Simmons BA. Theories of International Regimes. *Int Organ*. 2009/05/22. 1987;41(3):491–517.
6. Sosnowski M, Petrossian G, Nunphong T, Piza E. Crimes at Sea: Exploring The Nexus of Maritime Crimes across Global EEZs. *Mar Policy*. 2024;166:106161.

7. Guan KC, Koh C. Maritime Security in Southeast Asia. In: Routledge Handbook of Maritime Security. 1st Editio. London; 2022. p. 10. <http://doi.org/10.4324/9781003001324-32>
8. Tsagourias N, White N. Collective Security: Theory, Law and Practice. Collective Security: Theory, Law and Practice. Cambridge University Press; 2011. 481 p.
9. Kulsudjarit K. Drug Problem in Southeast and Southwest Asia. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2004 Oct 1;1025(1):446–57.
10. Pungs R, Sim I, Kelley S, Suppapongtevasakul K, Shin SJ, Kim J, et al. Synthetic Drugs in East and Southeast Asia: Latest Developments and Challenges. Bangkok; 2024. https://www.unodc.org/roseap/uploads/documents/Publications/2024/Synthetic_Drugs_in_East_and_Southeast_Asia_2024.pdf
11. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. UNODC annual report 2023 : Global Programme on Crimes That Affect The Environment [Internet]. Vienna; 2023. https://www.unodc.org/res/environment-climate/resources_html/Annual_Report_GPCAE_2023.pdf
12. Kangaspunta K, Me A, Sarrica F, Johansen R, Jesrani T, Manzotti C, et al. Global Study on Smuggling of Migrants 2018 [Internet]. Vienna; 2018. https://www.unodc.org/documents/data-and-analysis/glosom/GLOSOM_2018_web_small.pdf
13. Krippendorff K. Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology [Internet]. SAGE Publications; 2018. 472 p. Available from: <https://methods.sagepub.com/book/mono/content-analysis-4e/toc>
14. Kawano M. Implementation of the Rules of the UNCLOS Through Universal and Regional Organizations. In: Ribeiro MC, Loureiro Bastos F, Henriksen T, editors. Global Challenges and the Law of the Sea. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2020. p. 9–35. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42671-2_2